8

19

C.F. 66 (a)

Department of Land Revenue

OFFICE Board of Revenue

STATION Patna

Misc. **No.** 604	
Dated 1-6-21	

To whom sent		Date	Initial.
	Given for copying		
	Copied		
	Examined		
	Signed		
	Given for despatch		
	Despatched SW	3/6/21	
	Indexed		
Pages in the file 14	**To be destroyed on**		*

(SUBJECT.)

Revenue Officers (Services) - Collegiate [illegible] - Appointment [illegible] - Orders

* In the case of permanent records.

D 377 | Encls- 4
2 Re Stamp- 1
½ Re Stamp- 1



To,

The Honourable the Members of the

Board of Revenue, Land Revenue, MADRAS.



The humble petition of Basavaraja Urs, late Moniam Gumastah of Ajjipuram group, Kollagal Taluk, Coimbatore Dt., respectfully sheweth :-

1. That petitioner had acted as Moniam Gumastah of the said Ajjipuram group for over 30 years and as far as he is aware had carried out his duties honestly, efficiently and to the satisfaction of his official superiors,

2. That owing to the recent regrouping of villages Suleripalayam which formed part of Ajjipuram group was taken out of that group and made into a new unit and a new office having been created it became necessary to appoint a permanent Monigar for the said Suleripalayam village,

3. That petitioner was one of the applicants to the post of the Moniamship of Suleripalayam but the claims of the petitioner were over-looked and one Byra chetty, a stranger was appointed . Vide R. Dis.No: 68 Rev. of 1920 of the Revenue Divisional Officer Kollegal; herewith annexed,

4. That against the said order of the Revenue Divisional Officer, petitioner appealed to the Collector of Coimbatore but to petitioner's misfortune petitioner's appeal was dismissed. Vide proceedings of the Collector

of Coimbatore, D.Dis.553 of 1921 herewith anne

5. That petitioner begs very respectfully to appeal to this Honourable Board against the said order of the Collector for the following among other reasons:-

(a) 1. That justice and equity petitioner ought to have been given the preference over Byra Chetty,

2. That though petitioner may be unqualified in the sense that he has not passed all the necessary examinations, yet with very great respect, petitioner submits that he is qualified in other respects because he has done his work thoroughly has carried it out properly for over 30 years to the complete satisfaction of his official superiors and his non-passing of Examinations has not, up to no been found by his Official superiors to have marred his efficiency;and in this connection and with reference to the Divisional Officer's remark of petitioner's want of knowledge of Tamil, petitioner begs to submit that in his Proceedings dated 13th January 1903, the then Collector of Coimbatore held petitioner's want of Knowledge of Tamil immeterial and reversing the then Divisional Officer's order appointed petitioner as Monigar of Ajjipuram group. Vide proceedings herewith annexed,

(b) That it is submitted that in his own interests, petitioner is bound to have a clerk that such condition might be imposed upon the

petitioner

and be operative: and appellant can be removed if his work is found to suffer for want of a Clerk or otherwise

(c) That as regards want of sons petitioner begs leave to state that his son died fighting gallantly for King and Country in Egypt during the late War, as a Risaldar in the Mysore Contingent and that appellant has daughter and brother's sons,

(d) That admittedly petitioner is of a respectable family being of the family of the Maharaja of Mysore, is influential, owns lands and commands respect in the village; whereas the man appointed is a perfect stranger to the village, owns no lands there and at the time of his appointment, had no house in the village cannot have the same influence, or command the same respect as petitioner;

That petitioner is not aware how many examinations Byra Chetty has passed but excepting for that, petitioner respectfully submits Byra Chetty's claims are inferior to those of the petitioner,

(f) That if Byra Chetty can be appointed on probation on condition of his acquiring a house, it is submitted petitioner also could be appointed on probation on condition of employing a Clerk.

6. Petitioner, therefore, very respectfully prays that the order of the Collector may be reversed and petitioner appointed Monigar of Suleripalayam.

Ad. D 377/21.

R 192. Kotagal

D 438



Encl. D 377 dated 25-4-21

The petitioner should submit a duly stamped & authenticated Copy of the R.dis. in B & Rev Q/1920 within one month from the date of receipt of this order

2 marginal 25/5/21
Assistant Secretary
Board of Revenue, Land Revenue

To the petitioner with petition and four enclosures and unfranked Court fee stamps of the value of Rs 2-4

Represented with duly attested copies of the orders viz DC Ottur RDis No 6 dt 18/11/20 dated 18-11-20 as directed

applied Petitioner 12/5/21

Rc Petition and 5 enclosures

D 39.2 | one petition 5 Rev 2374 D
29/4 Rev 21.4.21

P.S. 4—2,000—12-1-20.

PRIVATE SECRETARY'S OFFICE, MADRAS.

D 39/21.4

Endt. no. 2374 D/21.1 dt 28.4.21

Transferred to the Board of Revenue for disposal.

25.4.21

BOARD OF REVENUE
29 APR 1921
LAND REVENUE DEPT.
MADRAS

Memo. No. 478-P.

Transferred to the Secretary to Government.. Revenue Department, for favour of disposal.

21.4.21

Revenue
Under Secretary to Govt.

ENCLOSURES :

(By order of His Excellency the Governor.)

H.C.

The petition of Ramaswamy Chetty, pattamdar has objection was sent with Board's Endt. 377 dy 25-4-21 for protection of Rs. order - Reply has not yet been received. This petition may be kept with the files.

Sent on old Revenue Dept. file 459

Ootacamund, The 19-4-1921

Private Secretary.

25.4.21

One month

3-5-21.

To

His Excellency

Lord Willingdon.,

Governor of Madras, Ootacamund.

The most humble petition of Pachaiammanniyavaru, widow of late Ressaldar A.Lingaraj Urs, I.O.M. of the Imperial Service Lancers, Mysore, most respectfully sheweth:-

(1) That Your Excellency's humble petitioner respectfully begs to submit that her husband, Ressaldar A.Lingaraj Urs, who was in the Mysore Contingent which proceeded to Europe, died fighting gallantly for King and Country in Egypt during the late Great War.

(2) That while Her Excellency Lady Chelmsford, C.I., in conveying the condolences of Her Majesty the Queen --- Empress, graciously promised to place before Her Majesty any --- communication that may be made on her behalf.

(3) That Your Excellency's petitioner respectfully begs to submit that her Father-in-law, Basavaraj Urs, was Monigar of Ajjipura village in Kollegal Taluk of the Coimbatore District till very recently

(4) That during the recent regrouping of vil. in the Taluk, Suleripalayam, which formed part of Alliduram --- village was taken out of the group and was made a separate unit by itself.

(5) That the Revenue Divisional Officer in appointing a Lavanam onigar refused to recognise the claims of her --- father-in-law Basavaraj Urs. who acted as Monigar for over 4 years but has appointed one Byra Chetty, a complete stranger without any adequate reason and has thus deprived the family of its privileges which had been in the position of the family for a considerably long period and which act is quite detrimental to the interests of the family.

(6) That if her Father-in-law is not made the Monigar, Your Excellency's petitioner respectfully begs to submit that the right of holding the appointment would be lost to the family for ever.

(7) That the appointment being a Hereditary one, would survive to the petitioner's family if her Father-in-law is now appointed Lavanam Monigar in place of the stranger now --- appointed.

(8) That Your Excellency's petitioner, therefore most

most respectfully begs to request that Your Excellency may graciously pleased to intercede on her behalf and appoint her Father-in-law Lavana Konigar of Suleripalayam which is the only boon Your Excellency's petitioner begs and if granted would be for ever.

For which act of grace the petitioner begs to remain

Your Excellency's most Loyal
Subject and Servant.
Pachaiammanni.

Mysore
14th April 1921

Village Officers (Coimbatore) — Hereditary
talaiyari — Abolition — Proposal —
Headmen Bill — Amendment — Appointment
of ~~Revenue~~ Panchayat Boards — petition —

D 372/21
Mis. List D 372/21 } 25.4.21 } R.
D 397/21
D 438/21

Result (Mis.) 604/21

The Board observes that Petitioner
worked satisfactorily as a Deputy
for 30 years without blemish. Tam
He surely acquired a working know
ledge in that time — of Tamil as a
language of the village. ~~This~~ It seems
strange that he should
~~be considered unsuitable~~.
Board therefore considers that the
petitioner with whom both the Collr
& the Collector appeared to sympathise
should be given a chance. ~~In this~~
~~connection it is noted that the~~
Appointment of Arpura Chetti (Coimbatore
is only Conditional and as Minister
~~is~~ not one made under the provisions
of Sec 6(1) of Act III of 1895. This
~~was temporary~~ and can be cancelled.
Board

Surely ~~[illegible]~~ the Board would
for ~~[illegible]~~ the ~~[illegible]~~ Act is
requested ~~[illegible]~~ the Petitioner
~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~
for the reasons stated ~~[illegible]~~

The Collector is also requested
to look into the ~~[illegible]~~ and

2 ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ to
the Collector, for ~~[illegible]~~ on his
petition to the Board.

10/

9388
31.5 ~~[illegible]~~

Village Officers (Contribution) — Kottayal Taluk — Sukeripalayam Village — Headman Basavanja[illegible] Uthu — Appointment at revision [illegible] Revision petn.

M/Sec. D 2774/21.

Pet'r — [illegible] Uthu D 3[illegible] 25-4-21.

Ref. — D 392/21 (Govt. G.O. No. 2374 3/21)

Pet'r Ref. — D 438/21.

Secy.

Both Addl Secys

At the revision of village establishments the Hosiduraan Group was split up into 2 headman's charges Hosdurgam & Sukeripalayam — under Sec 6(1) of Act III of 1895. The present petition relates to the headman's appointment of Sukeripalayam

2 In giving effect to the revision Scheme the RDO appointed the Counter-petitioner (Byra Chetti) a stranger as headman of Sukeripalayam. On appeal from the petitioner who was a deputy to the registered Muttu prior to revision the Collector recommended to [illegible]. The petitioner has now come up to the Board.

Please see Pt 1920 of Prop. Staff appointed to P.O. 5th Circ 26-4-17 (F) (F)

Pt 9 & 11 Cf.

a/temporary appt. outside the Act

Pt 11 Cf.

No second appeal or revision lies to the Board in the matter ~~Revised~~ see Sec 23 of Act III of 1895.
On the ~~merits~~ the revision is ready to summary reject

4 In this connection attention is invited to the memorial submitted to Govt by the petitioners daughter-in-law which shows that ~~the petitioner~~ his son died fighting in Egypt in the Lake War that his The son's valuable service was recognised by Her Majesty the Queen Empress & that the petitioner belongs to the Royal family of Mysore. ff 6-8 of ff 13 of

The petitioner says he was headman & deputy of Appiyanam Pongu for 30 years. However in para 7 of the memorial, to Govt the appointment is said to be a Mirasi one in the Pedro family & it is not clear whether the petitioner is a member of the family of the last holder prior to reversion, though he acts as a detentu. The petitioner was not appointed by the Dist on the ground that he does not know Tamil that he did the duties of the office as deputy with the help of a clerk & that his appointment at reversion on condition

ff 1 & 2 of

not so.

Dr 1152

ff 9 of

of discharging the duties of the office
thro' a clerk would be objectionable.
with that

~~[illegible]~~
strangers.

5: Orders are requested

DGS
20.5.21

Mss

I see that the appointment of proof
subject to certain condition
This surely gives the Bd. grounds for
interference. The pet. worked there
for 30 years without knowing Tamil
cannot reconcile the two; yet it does
me appear that he should not be
considered unsuitable.
As the main appointment not a year
ago was conditional
permanent appt. has not yet been
made and the pet. with whom both
K.D.O. Govt. agreed to sympathise
might be given a chance

Yes - tell Col. so and ask him to look into the language question.

hmg
23.V

Pt. 25/5

MA 3154

Draft framed by Reg

AS.

For similar order

Reg
1-6-21

MA 3154